UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

WESTERN DIVISION

| In re ACCREDO HEALTH, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION |) Civil Action No. 03-2216-BBD |
|---|---|
| |)) <u>CLASS ACTION</u> |
| This Document Relates To: |) LEAD PLAINTIFFS' MOTION <i>IN LIMINE</i> |
| ALL ACTIONS. | #19 TO PRECLUDE DEFENDANTS FROM INTRODUCING EVIDENCE OF THE |
| | PRICE OR MOVEMENT OF ACCREDO |
| | SECURITIES AFTER THE STATUTORY |
| | 90-DAY "LOOK BACK" PERIOD |

Lead Plaintiffs, Louisiana School Employees' Retirement System and Debra Swiman (together, "Lead Plaintiffs") and the Class of investors who purchased Accredo stock between June 16, 2002 and April 7, 2003, respectfully ask the Court to prohibit Defendants from introducing evidence of the price or movement of Accredo securities after the statutory 90-day "look back" period set forth in 15 U.S.C. 78u-4(e).

Defendants should be precluded from offering evidence of the price or movement of Accredo common stock following July 7, 2003, the last day of the statutory 90-day "look back" period set forth in 15 U.S.C. 78u-4(e). Lead Plaintiffs expect Defendants will argue at trial that positive movements of Accredo stock following that date, including Accredo's acquisition by MedCo Health Solutions, Inc. on August 18, 2005, somehow inoculate their fraudulent behavior during the Class Period or minimize the damages suffered by investors.

Evidence of Accredo's stock price movement after July 7, 2003 is irrelevant to any issue in this case, including the core issue of whether Defendants made false and misleading statements during the Class Period. Further, there is no evidentiary foundation for the notion that Accredo's stock price or movement long after the underlying events says anything at all about Defendants' wrongdoing in this case. As discussed below, the stock trading history for the 90 days following the April 8, 2003 corrective disclosure that ends the Class Period in this case is relevant for the *sole* purpose of calculating and limiting the Class' recoverable damages. 15 U.S.C. 78u-4(e). Yet, evidence regarding post-July 7, 2003 price or movements of Accredo securities may be misleading to a jury and unfairly prejudicial, especially if Defendants suggest that those price movements somehow vindicate their prior fraudulent actions. The minimal probative value is substantially outweighed by the unfair prejudicial effect of such argument. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 403. Therefore, all such evidence and all arguments based on such evidence should be precluded.

Evidence of Accredo's stock price movement through July 7, 2003, is relevant for one reason and one reason only: to calculate damages under the "look back" provision of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(e)(1), which limits damages to the difference between the purchase price and the mean trading price of the security during the 90-day period beginning on the day of the corrective disclosure. July 7, 2003 is the last day of the 90-day period following the revelation on April 8, 2003 that Accredo had not adequately reserved for the A/R of the SPS acquisition.

(1) In general

Except as provided in paragraph (2), in any private action arising under this title . . . in which the plaintiff seeks to establish damages by reference to the market price of a security, the award of damages to the plaintiff shall not exceed the difference between the purchase or sale price paid or received, as appropriate, by the plaintiff for the subject security and the mean trading price of that security during the 90-day period beginning on the date on which the information correcting the misstatement or omission that is the basis for the action is disseminated to the market.

(2) Exception

In any private action arising under this title . . . in which the plaintiff seeks to establish damages by reference to the market price of a security, if the plaintiff sells or repurchases the subject security prior to the expiration of the 90-day period described in paragraph (1), the plaintiff's damages shall not exceed the difference between the purchase or sale price paid or received, as appropriate, by the plaintiff for the security and the mean trading price of the security during the period beginning immediately after dissemination of information correcting the misstatement or omission and ending on the date on which the plaintiff sells or repurchases the security.

(3) Definition

For purposes of this subsection, the "mean trading price" of a security shall be an average of the daily trading price of that security, determined as of the close of the market each day during the 90-day period referred to in paragraph (1).

¹15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(e) provides as follows:

⁽e) Limitation on damages

Defendants offer no evidence or expert testimony – nor could they – regarding how the Company's stock would have traded following July 7, 2003. Absent an expert opinion evidencing how the stock market and Accredo's sector in general has moved since July 7, 2003 and whether or not Accredo's stock has tracked those movements, Defendants' reference to Accredo's stock price following the 90-day statutory "look back" period is devoid of probative value and much more likely to confuse and mislead, rather than help, the jury. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 403.

Moreover, there is no factual foundation for the argument that Accredo's stock price after July 7, 2003 indicates in any respect that Defendants did (or did not) violate the federal securities laws. Without such a foundation, Accredo's stock price and arguments based on it are irrelevant and highly prejudicial – suggesting to the jury, without any evidentiary foundation, that Accredo's stock price movements long after the Class Period and statutorily delineated "look back" period should somehow permit Defendants to escape liability.

Accordingly, Lead Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court enter an order precluding Defendants from offering evidence of the price or movement of Accredo securities after July 7, 2003, the last day of the statutory 90-day "look back" period set forth in 15 U.S.C. 78u-4(e).

DATED: September 8, 2008 Respectfully submitted,

COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP MARK SOLOMON TOR GRONBORG JONAH H. GOLDSTEIN DAVID W. MITCHELL TRIG R. SMITH NATHAN W. BEAR

> s/ TOR GRONBORG TOR GRONBORG

- 3 -

655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)

BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN LLP
BLAIR A. NICHOLAS
TIMOTHY A. DELANGE
BRETT M. MIDDLETON
MATTHEW P. JUBENVILLE
12481 High Bluff Drive, Suite 300
San Diego, CA 92130
Telephone: 858/793-0070
858/793-0323 (fax)

Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

GLASSMAN, EDWARDS, WADE & WYATT, P.C. B.J. WADE, #5182 26 N. Second Street Building Memphis, TN 38103 Telephone: 901/527-4673 901/521-0940 (fax)

Liaison Counsel

C:\Program Files\DocsCorp\pdfDocs PDF\users\MicheleH\Import\brf00053896 mil13.doc

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 8, 2008, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses denoted on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I have mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants indicated on the attached Manual Notice List.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on September 8, 2008.

s/ TOR GRONBORG

E-mail:torg@csgrr.com

TOR GRONBORG

COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101-3301 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)

Mailing Information for a Case 2:03-cv-02216-BBD-gbc

Electronic Mail Notice List

The following are those who are currently on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case.

- George E. Barrett gbarrett@barrettjohnston.com
- Nathan W. Bear NBear@csgrr.com,stremblay@csgrr.com
- Saul C. Belz sbelz@glankler.com,apospisil@glankler.com
- Paul Kent Bramlett pknashlaw@aol.com
- Linda F Burnsed lburnsed@cbslawyers.net
- Karen M. Campbell kcampbell@appersoncrump.com
- F. Guthrie Castle, Jr fgc@castle-law.com
- Stanley M. Chernau s.chernau@chernau.com
- **Timothy A. DeLange** timothyd@blbglaw.com,brettm@blbglaw.com,samj@blbglaw.com,kristinas@blbglaw.com,matthe
- Amy Ferguson Dudek adudek@glankler.com,apospisil@glankler.com
- **Jef Feibelman** jfeibelman@bpjlaw.com,cbiscoe@bpjlaw.com
- **Tor Gronborg** torg@csgrr.com,e_file_sd@csgrr.com
- **Douglas F. Halijan** dhalijan@bpjlaw.com,mmarshall@bpjlaw.com
- Dixie W. Ishee woodcarltonishee@bellsouth.net

• Matthew P. Jubenville matthewj@blbglaw.com

- Emily C. Komlossy ekomlossy@faruqilaw.com
- Quitman Robins Ledyard, II bledyard@borodandkramer.com
- Brett M. Middleton brettm@blbglaw.com
- Timothy L. Miles tmiles@barrettjohnston.com
- David W. Mitchell davidm@csgrr.com
- Blair N. Nicholas blairn@blbglaw.com
- Russell F.A. Riviere russellr@blbglaw.com
- **Kevin Hunter Sharp** ksharp@dsattorneys.com
- Scott N. Sherman scott.sherman@alston.com
- Gary K. Smith gsmith@appersoncrump.com,clunsford@appersoncrump.com,kcampbell@appersoncrump.com
- Trig R. Smith trigs@csgrr.com
- Mark D. Trainer mark.trainer@alston.com
- **B. J. Wade** bwade@gewwlaw.com
- Allison Wannamaker wannamakera@thomasonlaw.com
- Kelly C. Wilcove kelly.wilcove@alston.com,valerie.nouman@alston.com

Manual Notice List

The following is the list of attorneys who are **not** on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case (who therefore require manual noticing). You may wish to use your mouse to select and copy this list into your word processing program in order to create notices or labels for these recipients.

Ramzi Abadou

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101

Guri Ademi

ADEMI & O'REILLY, LLP 3620 East Layton Ave. Cudahy, WI 53110

Shpetim Ademi

ADEMI & O'REILLY, LLP 3620 East Layton Ave. Cudahy, WI 53110

Lauren S. Antonino

CHITWOOD & HARLEY 1230 Peachtree St., N.E. 2900 Promenade II Atlanta, GA 30309

Peter Q. Bassett

ALSTON & BIRD 1201 West Peachtree St. Atlanta, GA 30309-3424

Javier Bleichmar

BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMAN 1285 Ave of the Americas 38th Floor New York, NY 10019

Martin D. Chitwood

CHITWOOD HARLEY & HARNES LLP 1230 Peachtree St., N.E. 2900 Promenade II Atlanta, GA 30309

Patricia A. Connell

ERNST & YOUNG 5 Times Square New York, NY 10036-6530

Gregory M. Egleston

BERNSTEIN LIEBHARD & LIFSHITZ, LLP 10 East 40th Street New York, NY 10016

Nadeem Faruqi

FARUQI & FARUQI, LLP 369 Lexington Avenue 10th Floor New York, NY 10017

, Esq

Mark C. Gardy

ABBEY GARDY, LLP 212 East 39th St. New York, NY 10016

Carol V. Gilden

MUCH SHELIST FREED DENENBERG AMENT & RUBENSTEIN, P.C. 191 N. Wacker Dr. Ste. 1800 Chicago, IL 60606-1615

Karen M. Hanson

LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN, PLLP 100 Washington Ave., South Ste. 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55401

Ronald B. Hauben

ERNST & YOUNG 5 Times Square New York, NY 10036-6530

Marc S. Henzel

LAW OFFICES OF MARC S. HENZEL 273 Montgomery Ave. Ste. 202 Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

Fred Taylor Isquith

WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ LLP 270 Madison Ave.
New York, NY 10016

Douglas S. Johnston

BARRETT JOHNSTON & PARSLEY 217 Second Avenue North Nashville, TN 37201-1601

Nancy Kaboolian

ABBEY GARDY, LLP 212 East 39th St. New York, NY 10016

William S. Lerach

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101

Mel E. Lifshitz

BERNSTEIN LIEBHARD & LIFSHITZ, LLP 10 East 40th Street New York, NY 10016

Richard A. Lockridge

LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN, PLLP 100 Washington Ave., South Ste. 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55401

, Esq

Douglas M McKeige

BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMAN 1285 Ave of the Americas 38th Floor New York, NY 10019

Eitan Misulovin

BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMAN 1285 Ave of the Americas 38th Floor
New York, NY 10019

Michael E. Moskovitz

MUCH SHELIST FREED DENENBERG AMENT & RUBENSTEIN, P.C. 191 N. Wacker Dr. Ste. 1800 Chicago, IL 60606-1615

Gregory M. Nespole

WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ LLP 270 Madison Ave. New York, NY 10016

Darren J Robbins

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP 655 W. Broadway Ste. 1900 San Diego, CA 92101

Robert M. Roseman

SPECTOR ROSEMAN & KODROFF, P.C. 1818 Market St. Ste. 2500 Philadelphia, PA 19103

David A. Rosenfeld

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP 200 Broadhollow Rd. Ste. 406 Melville, NY 11747

Samuel H. Rudman

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP 200 Broadhollow Rd. Ste. 406
Melville, NY 11747

Mark Solomon

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101

Marc A. Topaz

SCHIFFRIN BARROWAY TOPAZ & KESSLER, LLP 280 King of Prussia Road Radnor, PA 19087